

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107862
Title of the Manuscript:	Agricultural Assistants' Perceptions Towards Their Roles: A Case Study from Southern Province of Zambia
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is an important undertaking in this 4 th industrial revolution.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable to the discourse being examined</p> <p>3. There is need for further reflection and improvement.</p> <p>4. There is need to separate results, findings and conclusions</p> <p>5. Yes, it focuses on an important issue in the Agricultural sector.</p> <p>6. Some are recent, but there is a need to have more from 2020 up to 2023.</p>	<p>Thanks</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Reflection and improvement done</p> <p>Results and Discussion combined for easy discussion.</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Recent references included</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is okay. Just few editorial issues to attend to.</p>	<p>Editorial issues attended too</p>
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There is need to separate your findings and Conclusions. Try to discuss the results adequately before giving support from literature. Give sources to your primary data. Give us new nuances from your study.</p>	<p>Findings and conclusion not separated, and results discussed before literature support. The author feels separating results and discussion will not be a good idea owing to the fact that it is case study.</p>

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The AAs provided verbal informed consent before the interview. Participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity regarding the given information.	The AAs provided verbal informed consent before the interview. Participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity regarding the given information.